Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 07182 01 OF 02 241419Z

43

ACTION EUR-08

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 NSC-05 NSCE-00 INR-05 CIAE-00

PM-03 DODE-00 EB-03 OES-02 SAJ-01 OMB-01 L-01 PRS-01

USIA-01 /046 W

----- 056434

R 241103Z MAY 75 FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW TO SECSTATE WASHDC 503

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 1 OF 2 MOSCOW 7182

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652 GDS

TAGS: ETRD PFOR UR

SUBJ: SOVIET PARTICIPATION IN INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC ARRANGEMENTS

- 1. SUMMARY. U.S. GOVERNMENT EFFORTS TO STIMULATE AWARENESS OF THE FACT OF INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC INTERDEPENDENCE AND PARTICULARLY TO FOSTER MULTILATERAL COOPERATION IN FOOD AND ENERGY HAVE THUS FAR MET A BLAND, APPARENETLY INTERESTED BUT PASSIVE RESPONSE FROM THE SOVIET UNION.

 THIS WATCHFUL, DETACHED ATTITUDE APPEARS LIKELY TO CONTINUE PENDING THE EMERGENCE OF A CLEARER PERCEPTION OF PROSPECTIVE SOVIET BENEFITS FROM PARTICIPATION IN SUCH ARRANGEMENTS. THUS FAR THE SOVIET HAVE SHOWN A MORE ACTIVE INTEREST IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE, MONETARY, AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS THAN IN ENERGY OR FOOD ORGANIZATIONS. IN TERMS OF U.S. INTERESTS, A SOVIET ROL IN ENERGY BODIES WOULD PROBABLY BE DISRUPTIVE, IN FOOD IT COULD BE BENEFICIAL AND IN FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS UNCERTAIN. END SUMMARY.
- 2. SOVIET IMPERATIVES IN FORMULATING POLICY ON INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC COOPERATION AS ON VARIOUS OTHER MULTI-LATERAL ISSUES MUST CERTAINLY INCLUDE BUILDING THE USSR'S IMAGE AS A RESPONSIBLE PARTICIPANT IN INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC COOPERATION PROJECTS, AND IF POSSIBLE AS A SPOKESMAN CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 07182 01 OF 02 241419Z

DEFENDING THE INTERESTS OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WHILE CARE-

FULLY PRESERVING THE EXISTING ATMOSPHER OF RELAXED TENSIONS WITH INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES. THESE GOALS ARE OFTEN DIFFICULT TO RECONCILE, AND MOSCOW'S CAUTIOUS APPROACH TO WORLD ECONOMIC BODIES REFLECTS UNCERTAINTY ABOUT THE ADVANTAGES AND DRAWBACKS OF MORE ACTIVE PARTICIPATION.

- 3. THE SOVIETS SEE THEMSELVES AS ESSENTIALLY AUTONOMOUS IN ENERGY AND CAPABLE OF AUTONOMY IN FOOD, AND THUS, FROM THE STANDPOINT OF THE USSR'S SELFISH INTERESTS, THEY PERCEIVE LITTLE URGENCY IN EITHER OF THESE QUESTIONS. BUT THEY CAN SEE THE INCREASE IN WORLD CONCERN ON BOTH MATTERS AND, AS A WOULD-BE ECONOMIC SUPERPOWER, THEY ASSUME THAT THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE INVOLVED.
- 4. BECAUSE INTERNATIONAL FOOD AND ENERGY PROBLEMS APPEAR IN RUSSIAN EYES TO HAVE ONLY AN INDIRECT BEARING ON THE INTERNAL SOVIET ECONOMY, THE SOVITS WILL PROBABLY LOOK ON MULTILATERAL CONFERENCES CONCERNING THESE COMMODITIES AS LEARNING EXPERIENCES AND AS OPPORTUNITIES TO PURSUE SOVIET POLITICAL GOALS WHICH ARE NOT NECESSARILY RELATED TO THE PURPOSES OF SUCH CONFERENCES.
- 5. ASSUMING AS A PRIMARY U.S. GOAL IN SOLICITING SOVIET PARTICIPATION IN INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC MATTERS THE ENCOURAGEMENT OF RESPONSIBLE SOVIET BEHAVIOUR IN THE INTERNATIONAL ARENA, IT WOULD APPEAR.-AS IMPLIED ABOVE--THAT ENERGY IS NOT THE MOST PROPITIOUS FILED IN WHICH TO SEEK SIGNIFICANT COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE USSR AND WESTERN NATIONS. IN THIS AREA, SOVIET IDEOLOGICAL AND ECONOMIC GOALS WILL OFTEN PROVE ESSENTIALLY INCOMPATIBLE WITH RESULTS WHICH THE U.S. WOULD WELCOME, AND IT CAN BE EXPECTED THAT SOVIET PARTICIPATION IN NEGOTIATIONS ON THIS ISSUE COULD EMPHASIZE PROPAGANDIZING AND FOOT-DRAGGING AND OCCASIONALLY GENERATE OPPOSITION IF NOT HOSTILITY TO WESTERN-COORDINATED EFFORTS.
- 6. THE SOVIET SHOWED OBVIOUS CURIOSITY TO LEARN EVERY-THING POSSIBLE ABOUT AMERICAN PROPOSALS AND CONTEMPLATED U.S. INITIATIVES TOWARD INTEGRATED MULTINATIONAL EFFORTS AT COOPERATION, AND THEY CLEARLY WELCOMED UNDER SECRETARY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 07182 01 OF 02 241419Z

ROBINSON'S RECENT VISIT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO ACQUIRE INFORMATION ABOUT THE RECENT PREPARATORY MEETING FOR THE ENERGY CONFERENCE. THEY HAVE CAREFULLY PRESERVED A NEUTRAL POSTURE HOWEVER, REPEATING IN A STUDIOUSLY ACADEMIC MANNER TIME-HONORED AND BLAND POLICY PRONOUNCEMENTS AND POINTING OUT THAT THE SOVIET EFFORT TO INDUCE U.S. PARTICIPATION IN SIBERIAN ENERGY (I.E., NORTH STAR AND YAKUTSK PROJECTS) IS IN HARMONY WITH U.S. APPROACHES TO THE BROADER ENERGY

PROBLEMS WHICH THE U.S. SEEKS TO WORK WITH OTHER NATIONS TO SOLVE.

- 7. GIVEN UNCERTAINTY ABOUT THE CONSEQUENCES OF WIDER COLLABORATION ON ENERGY QUESTIONS, EXPECIALLY ON THE POLITICAL PLANE, THEIR GUARDED AND VAGUE RESPONSE AT THIS TIME IS TO BE EXPECTED. IT REPRESENTS STANDARD SOVIET OPERATING PROCEDURE IN RESPECT TO CAUSES TOWARD WHICH THEIR POSITION HAS NOT YET BEEN ESTABLISHED.
- 8. THE USSR NUMBERS ITSELF AMONG THE ENERGY PRODUCER NATIONS. (WHETHER THIS IS ACTUALLY THE CASE, OR HOW LONG IT WILL REMAIN SO, IS NOT RELEVANT.) THUS, THE SOVIET UNION MUST VIEW THE CREATION OF THE IEA AS UNFORTUNATE, SINCE CONSUMER SOLIDARITY WILL NECESSARILY COMPLICATE REALIZATION OF THE SOVIETS' INTEREST TO MAXIMIZE THE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGES THE USSR ENVISIONS FOR ITSELF AS A POSSIBLE LONG-TERM ENERGY EXPORTER TO WESTERN NATIONS. THE USSR HAS HINTED THAT IT MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN PARTICIPATION IN A GENERAL PRODUCER-CONSUMER CONFERENCE (SHOULD THIS MEETING EVENTUALLY TAKE PLACE), BUT THERE SHOUULD BE NO DOUBT THAT IN SUCH A FORUM THE U.S. AND USSR WOULD FIND LITTLE COMMON GROUND. AN ADDED COMPLICA-TION ON ENERGY IS MOSCOW'S POLITICAL SUPPORT FOR THE OPEC MEMBERS, PARTICULARLY THE MORE MILITANT PRODUCER COUNTRIES. THUS, ON ENERGY QUESTIONS, THE ABSENCE OF ECONOMIC NEED AND THE PRESENCE OF POLITICAL ADVANTAGES WILL PROBABLY COMBINE TO PRODUCE A SOVIET POSITION SHARPLY AT VARIANCE WITH OURS
- 9. REGARDING THE FOOD ISSUE, BASIC SOVIET INTERESTS ARE MORE AMBIVALENT. SOVIET FOOD PRODUCTION IS SUBJECT TO WIDE FLUCTUATIONS SO IT IS LIKELY THAT MOSCOW SEES ITSELF CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 07182 01 OF 02 241419Z

AS BOTH A POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTOR AND AS A POTENTIAL RECIPIENT OF A SCHEME FOR WORLD GRAN RESERVES. IN SUCH A SCHEME THERE ARE BOTH ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL ADVANAGES TO MOSCOW. ECONOMICALLY THE SOVIET COULD EXPECT ASSURED SUPPLIES AT STABLE PRICES; POLITICALLY THEY COULD TAKE PARTIAL CREDIT FOR SUPPLYING NEEDY COUNTRIES (E.G., INDIA AND BANGLADESH) WITH WHICH THEY SEEK CLOSER POLITICAL RELATIONS. FURTHERMORE, THEY MUST COUNT THE COST OF NOT PARTICIPATING, NAMELY THAT THEIR ACCESS TO SOURCES OF SUPPLY MIGHT BE LIMITED BY

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 07182 02 OF 02 241442Z

43

ACTION EUR-08

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 NSC-05 NSCE-00 INR-05 CIAE-00

PM-03 DODE-00 EB-03 OES-02 SAJ-01 OMB-01 L-01 PRS-01

USIA-01 /046 W

----- 056479

R 241103Z MAY 75 FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW TO SECSTATE WASHDC 504

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 2 OF 2 MOSCOW 7182

LIMDIS

A SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES FOR PARTICIPANTS. THIER FAILURE THUS FAR TO BECOME MORE ACTIVE STEMS, WE BELIEVE, FROM THEIR UNCERTAINTY ABOUT THE MECHANICS OF SUCH A SCHEME AND FROM THEIR CONCERN ABOUT THE COSTS AND COMMITMENTS THEIR PARTICIPATION WOULD ENTAIL. THE SOVIET HAVE SHOWN A KEEN INTEREST AND HAVE ASKED NUMEROUS DETAILED QUESTIONS ABOUT A GRAIN RESERVES SCHEME, ESPECIALLY IN THE WEEKS LEADING UP TO THE LONDON MEETING IN FEBRUARY AND DURING AMBASSADOR CLYDE FERGUSON'S VISIT IN APRIL. IN SUM, IT SEEMS CLEAR THAT IF THEY BELIEVED ACHEIVEMENT OF ANY EFFECTIVE GOLLOBAL FOOD BANK TO BE IMMINENT, THE SOVIETS, FEARING THAT CREATION OF SUCH AN INSTITUTION WITHOUT THEIR PARTICIPATION MIGHT EXCLUDE THE USSR FROM ACCESS TO AN IMPORTANT SHARE OF WORLD FOOD RESERVES, WOULD QUICKLY SEEK TO ENROLL THEMSELVES AMONG THE ORGANIZERS.

10. WHILE THEIR JUDGMENTS ABOUT THE USSR'S NATIONAL INTEREST MAY LIMIT AN EFFECTIVE SOVIET CONTRIBUTION TO THE SOLUTION OF WORLD FOOD AND ENERGY PROBLEMS, THE SOVIETS HAVE SHOWN GREATER INTEREST IN INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL AND TRADE MECHANISMS: (1) GATT OR AT LEAST MTV; (2) THE WORLD MONETARY SYSTEM; AND (3) JOINT FINANCING OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS UNDER INTERNATIONAL AUSPICES.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 07182 02 OF 02 241442Z

- 1. REGARDING THE FIRST OF THESE--GATT OR MTN--THE SOVIETS APPEAR TO BE CURIOUS ABOUT THE POSSIBILITIES FOR THEM TO TAKE PART IN THE MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS. THEY HAVE A SELFISH STIMULUS FOR DOING SO (POTENTIAL REDUCTIONS IN FOREIGN TARIFFS AND OTHER TRADE BARRIERS NOW IMPEDING SOVIET EXPORTS). MOREOVER, THE COMPLEXITY OF THE ISSUES WHICH ARISE IN THIS CONTEXT MAKES IT RELATIVELY DIFFICULT TO BRING PURELY POLITICAL AND/OR IDEOLOGICAL FACTORS INTO PLAY. FURTHER, AT THE MTN OR THROUGH MEMBERSHIP IN GATT THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THE SOVIET UNION TO ENGAGE IN ITS FAVORITE GAME OF "CLIENTISM" IS SOMEWHAT MORE LIMITED.
- 12. IN A HIGHLY TENTATIVE FASHION, THE SOVIETS HAVE FOR SOME TIME BEEN CONTEMPLATING THE POSSIBILITY OF MEMBERSHIP IN AT LEAST THREE INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS: THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND, THE WORLD BANK AND THE BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS. BEYOND THE EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST AND OBLIQUE HINTS THAT THE USSR WOULD WELCOME INVITATIONS FOR CLOSER ASSOCIATION WITH THESE BODIES, THE USSR IS BELIEVED TO HAVE POLICY OPTIONS PREPARED AND READY FOR EVALUATION WHENEVER THE TIME SEEMS RIPE. ALSO, THE STRONG SOVIET INTEREST IN SECURING INTERNATIONAL RECOGNITION FOR THE COUNCIL OF MUTUAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE (CEMA) AS AN EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTERPART FOR THE COMMON MARKET MAY CAUSE THE USSR TO FOSTER STRONGER TIES BETWEEN THE CEMA BANKS AND THE INTERNATIONAL BANKING SYSTEM THROUGH SOME FORM OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN CEMA AND THE IMF, FOR EXAMPLE.
- 13. TO THE WEST, THE BENEFITS OF FULL SOVIET PARTICIPATION IN THE IMF, WORLD BANK AND BIS ARE EQUIVOCAL AT BEST. AGAINST SOMEWHAT HYPOTHETICAL ADVANTAGES TO BE DERIVED FROM FURTHER INTERTWINING OF THE USSR'S ECONOMY WITH THE REST OF THE WORLD, INCLUDING THE BUILDING OF DISINNES#FOR UNDERMINING OTHER CURRENCIES AND A GREATER SOVIET STAKE IN GENERAL FINANCIAL STABILITY, MUST BE WEIGHED A GREATLY ENHANCED SOVIE CAPACITY FOR DISRUPTING OPERATIONS OF THESE I TO#AT PRESENT AND, EVEN MORE IMPORTANTLY, IN THE FUTURE. IT DOES NOT SEEM DESIRABLE FOR THE U.S. TO MAKE ANY MOVE WHICH MAY BE CONSTRUED AS OPENING THE DOORS OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL BODIES TO CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 07182 02 OF 02 241442Z

THE SOVIET UNION, AND ANY SOVIET INITIATIVES TOWARD MEMBERSHIP IN THEM SHOULD BE ACCEPTED ONLY ON CONDITION THAT USSR IS PREPARED TO CONFORM TO NORMAL RQUIREMENTS STATISFIED BY ALL OTHER MEMBERS, INCLUDING THE US, JAPANAND THE WESTERN EUROPEAN INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES.

14. ASSOCIATING THE USSR IN INTERNATIONAL CONSORTIA IN THE LESS DEVELOPED WORLD OFFERS A POTENTIALLY USEFUL MEANS FOR DRAWING THE SOVIETS FURTHER INTO THE GLOBAL ECONOMIC COMMUNITY. IN INFORMAL CONVERSATIONS IN RECENT YEARS THE SOVIETS HAVE OCCASIONALLY INTRODUCED THE SUBJECT OF JOINT US-SOVIET BUSINESS PROPOSALS IN THIRD COUNTRIES. WHILE THIS CONCEPT AS THE SOVIETS DESCRIBED IT WAS TRANPARENTLY A DEVICE FOR GAINING SOVIET ACCESS TO MARKETS HOSTILE TO THE USSR BUT OPEN TO THE U.S., SOVIET COLLABORATION IN THE FINANCING AND EXECUTION OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS IN THE LDC'S COULD POSSIBLY BE STRUCTURED IN A MORE DESIRABLE MANNER, INCLUDING FOR EXAMPLE: (1) A DEVELOPMENT FUND WITH MEMBER-SHIP DRAWN FROM WESTERN EUROPE AND JAPAN AS WELL AS THE U.S. AND USSR; (2) AD HOC CONSORTIA OF BANKS (INCLUDING POSSIBLY THE SOVIET FOREIGN TRADE BANK OR ONE OR MORE OF THE SOVIET BANKS ESTABLISHED IN THE WEST); (3) A REVOLVING CREDIT FUND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS WITH SOVIET AS WELL AS U.S. AND PERHAPS THIRD-COUNTRY PARTICIPATION.

15. RECOGNIZING THE BENEFITS HOPEFULLY ACCRUING TO THE U.S. FROM ENCOURAGING THESE SOVIET ACTIONS IN INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC FORA--I.E., ACHIEVING A DEGREE OF RESPONSIBILITY AND STABILITY IN SOVIET CONDUCT--THE POTENTIAL HAZARDS MUST NOT BE OVERLOOKED. INCREASED SOVIET PARTICIPATION CARRIES THE RISK OF SOVIET NON-ISSUE-ORIENTED MISCHIEFMAKING.

16. THERE SEEMS LITTLE DOUBT THAT MOSCOW SEEKS A MORE ACTIVE ROLE IN WORLD ECONOMIC MACHINERY AND SEES MEMBERSHIP AS ANOTHER SYMBOL OF ACCEPTANCE OF ITS GLOBAL IMPORTANCE. HOWEVER, THE U.S., IN OR VIEW, SHOULD BE WARY OF THE TERMS OF SOVIET MEMBERSHIP AND NOT MAKE SPECIAL CONCESSIONS ON MOSCOW'S BEHALF. THE SOVIETS SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO BEHAVE RESPONSIBLY, TO RESIST THE TEMPTATION TO EARN SHORT-TERM POLITICAL ADVANTAGE, AND TO FOCUS ON THE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 07182 02 OF 02 241442Z

SPECIFIC ISSUES OF EACH FORUM. STOESSEL

NOTE BY OC/T: MOSCOW 7182 (SEC 2 OF 2) #AS RECEIVED. PARA 13. CORRECTION TO FOLLOW.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2000	5

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: ECONOMIC COOPERATION, ECONOMIC AGREEMENTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 24 MAY 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975MOSCOW07182

Document Number: 1975MOSCOW07182
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS

Errors: N/A Film Number: D750183-0400

From: MOSCOW

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750520/aaaaarbx.tel Line Count: 323

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 6

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 18 JUN 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18 JUN 2003 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <19 JUN 2003 by GolinoFR>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: SOVIET PARTICIPATION IN INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC ARRANGEMENTS TAGS: ETRD, PFOR, UR, US
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006